

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/821,720	04/09/2004		Felipe Claro	FC-03-01C01	1508	
30349	7590	09/13/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
JACKSON & CO., LLP				MORAN, KATHERINE M		
6114 LA SALLE AVENUE SUITE 507 OAKLAND, CA 94611-2802				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3765		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				- (
			n No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/821,720	)	CLARO, FELIPE	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Katherine N		3765	
۔۔۔ ا Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHOP WHICH - Extensic after SI - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w o reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing oratent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONEI	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ T 3)∐ S	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 At</u> his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowar osed in accordance with the practice under E	action is no nce except f	or formal matters, pro		
Disposition	of Claims				
4a 5) □ C 6) □ C 7) □ C 8) □ C  Application 9) □ Th	laim(s) 97-102 and 123-132 is/are pending in (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed.  laim(s) 97-102 and 123-132 is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2004 is/are: a) opplicant may not request that any objection to the opplicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from con or election re er. o⊠ accepted	sideration. quirement. d or b)⊡ objected to t		
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
,—	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.	
12)	der 35 U.S.C. § 119  knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been s have been rity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)  Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/821,720

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment of 8/25/06 has been received and reviewed. Applicant amended claims 97, 99, cancelled claims 103-122, added new claims 123-132, and also submitted a specification amendment. Claims 97-102 and 123-132 are pending.

### Specification

1. The amendment to the specification of 8/25/06 is objected to: pgs. 4-6 include multiple occurrences of "hoops". This should be changed to "hooks".

# **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/821,720 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

3. Claims 97 and 123 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,557. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application include the hook and loop attachment means recited in claims 4 and 5.

Claim 128 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,557.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each structural element recited in the claims of the present invention is also included in the '557 patent. Further, a magnetic adjustment clasp is functionally equivalent to the hook and loop of '558 and it would have been obvious to substitute the hook and loop for the magnetic clasp of the present invention.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered. Claims 97-102 and 123-132 are allowable pending receipt of a terminal disclaimer. Applicant has noted that a terminal disclaimer will be submitted pending receipt of a notice of allowance. However, the outstanding claims are still rejected under the double patenting statutes as noted above. Thus, a notice of allowability will be issued pending receipt of the terminal disclaimer and resolution of the specification objection.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,720

Art Unit: 3765

### **Conclusion**

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine Moran whose telephone number is 571-272-4990. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katherine Moran Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

Kmm September 8, 2006